

Wat is de rol van inflammatie bij (residueel) cardiovasculair risico?

Dr. Nordin Hanssen

Endocrinoloog en vasculair geneeskundige, Amsterdam UMC



The elephant in the room

- 1 in 10 adults has diabetes
- Strongest increase Africa
- Almost half live in India and China



Diabetes around the world | 2021



So can we close this gap?



Rawshani et al NEJM 2017

How much (inflammatory) residual risk is there in diabetes?

A Excess Mortality in Relation to Range of Risk-Factor Control Hazard Ratio (95% CI)			B Excess Acute Myocardial Infarction in Relation to Range of Risk-Factor Control Hazard Ratio (95% CI)			C Excess Stroke in Relation to Range of Risk-Factor Control Hazard Ratio (95% CI)			D Excess Heart Failure in Relation to Range of Risk-Factor Control Hazard Ratio (95% CI)		
Control	1		Control	1		Control	1		Control	1	
>80 vr	•	Reference	≥80 vr	•	Reference	≥80 vr	•	Reference	≥80 yr	•	Reference
>65 to < 80 yr	A	Reference	≥65 to <80 vr		Reference	≥65 to <80 yr		Reference	≥65 to <80 yr	- -	Reference
>55 to <65 vr		Reference	>55 to <65 vr	<u> </u>	Reference	≥55 to <65 yr		Reference	≥55 to <65 yr		Reference
<55 vr		Reference	<55 vr		Reference	<55 vr		Reference	<55 vr		Reference
No risk factors	T.	Reference	No risk factors	1	Reference	No risk factors	1		No risk factors	1	
>80 yr	- -	0.99 (0.84-1.17)	>80 vr	<u>'</u>	0.72(0.49 - 1.07)	>80 vr	-	0.95 (0.74-1.22)	>80 yr		1.12 (0.89-1.41)
>65 to <80 yr	X	1 01 (0.92 - 1.12)	$\geq 65 \text{ to } < 80 \text{ yr}$		0.80 (0.69-0.93)	≥ 65 to < 80 yr		0.90(0.76 - 1.06)	>65 to < 80 yr		1.42(1.28 - 1.58)
>55 to <65 yr	<u> </u>	1.15(1.00-1.34)	>55 to <65 yr		0.93(0.73 - 1.18)	>55 to < 65 yr	× *	0.94 (0.72 - 1.23)	>55 to <65 vr		1.61(1.31 - 1.97)
255 to <05 yr		1 29 (0 94-1 77)	<55 vr	2	0.91 (0.62 - 1.35)	<55 vr	1 A	1.22(0.70-2.13)	<55 vr		240(163-354)
1 Risk factor		1.25 (0.51 1.77)	1 Risk factor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.01 (0.02 1.00)	1 Risk factor	N. Contraction of the second s		1 Risk factor		
>80 yr		0.94 (0.88 - 1.00)	>80 yr	-	1 05 (0 93-1 19)	>80 vr		1.06(0.95 - 1.18)	>80 yr		1.17(1.08 - 1.27)
>65 to < 80 yr	*	1.05(1.02-1.09)	>65 to < 80 yr	<u> </u>	1.05(0.97-1.14)	>65 to < 80 yr	*	1.11(1.04-1.18)	>65 to < 80 yr		1.46(1.39 - 1.53)
>55 to <65 yr		1 23 (1 16-1 31)	>55 to <65 yr		1.14(1.04-1.25)	>55 to <65 yr	×	1.27(1.14-1.41)	>55 to <65 yr		1.80(1.63 - 1.98)
<55 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.56(1.34 - 1.81)	<55 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.46 (1.26-1.69)	<55 vr		1.55(1.23 - 1.95)	<55 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.37 (1.99-2.82)
2 Risk factors		1.50 (1.54 1.61)	2 Risk factors		1.40 (1.20 1.00)	2 Risk factors	1 ×	1.00 (1.10 1.00)	2 Risk factors		2.0. (2.00 2.02)
≥80 vr	•	0.99 (0.94-1.04)	≥80 yr		1.38 (1.27-1.49)	≥80 yr		1.13 (1.04-1.24)	≥80 yr		1.23 (1.15-1.32)
≥65 to <80 vr		1.17 (1.13-1.20)	≥65 to <80 yr	•	1.44 (1.39-1.50)	≥65 to <80 yr		1.32 (1.26-1.38)	≥65 to <80 yr		1.62 (1.56-1.68)
≥55 to <65 vr	`	1.32 (1.27-1.38)	≥55 to <65 yr	•	1.54 (1.44-1.65)	≥55 to <65 yr		1.59 (1.50-1.69)	≥55 to <65 yr	•	2.11 (1.98-2.26)
<55 vr		1.68 (1.56-1.80)	<55 yr		2.08 (1.90-2.27)	<55 yr		2.04 (1.76-2.36)	<55 yr	•	2.71 (2.40-3.05)
3 Risk factors	1	()	3 Risk factors			3 Risk factors		. , ,	3 Risk factors		, ,
>80 vr		1.13 (1.06-1.21)	≥80 yr	•	1.78 (1.60-1.98)	≥80 yr	•	1.35 (1.21-1.51)	≥80 yr	•	1.42 (1.31-1.54)
>65 to <80 yr	· · · ·	1.46 (1.42-1.50)	≥65 to <80 yr		2.11 (2.02-2.20)	≥65 to <80 yr	•	1.73 (1.65–1.82)	≥65 to <80 yr	•	2.01 (1.92-2.10)
>55 to <65 yr		1.63(1.55-1.71)	≥55 to <65 yr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.16 (2.02-2.31)	≥55 to <65 yr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.13 (2.01-2.27)	≥55 to <65 yr		2.82 (2.63-3.02)
<55 vr		2.21 (2.05-2.37)	<55 vr	•	3.02 (2.80-3.27)	<55 vr	· · · · ·	2.78 (2.46-3.16)	<55 vr		3.93 (3.50-4.42)
4 Risk factors		(1.00)	4 Risk factors		5.02 (2.00 0.2.)	4 Risk factors			4 Risk factors		
>80 vr		1.47 (1.28-1.70)	≥80 vr		2.32(1.78-3.01)	≥80 vr		1.54 (1.12-2.11)	≥80 vr		1.81 (1.42-2.30)
>65 to < 80 yr	•	2.10 (1.96-2.26)	≥65 to <80 yr		2.87(2.62 - 3.14)	≥65 to <80 yr		2.31 (2.09-2.55)	≥65 to <80 yr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.88 (2.64-3.14)
>55 to <65 vr	•	2.53 (2.37-2.70)	≥55 to <65 yr		3.32 (3.02-3.66)	≥55 to <65 yr		2.66 (2.30-3.08)	≥55 to <65 vr		3.85 (3.47-4.26)
<55 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.80(2.51-3.13)	<55 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.56(4.01-5.18)	<55 vr		3.34 (2.72-4.10)	<55 vr	· · · · · ·	5.70 (4.84-6.71)
5 Risk factors	1		5 Risk factors	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5 Risk factors	1		5 Risk factors		(
>80 yr		1.39 (0.51-3.80)	≥80 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.19 (1.23-8.28)	≥80 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.65 (0.96-7.30)	≥80 vr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.76 (0.82-9.25)
>65 to <80 yr		3.10 (2.53-3.80)	≥65 to <80 vr		4.60 (3.37-6.29)	≥65 to <80 yr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· 3.54 (2.36–5.31)	≥65 to <80 yr		3.93 (2.75-5.60)
>55 to <65 vr		3.88 (3.07-4.92)	≥55 to <65 yr		4.84 (3.78-6.21)	≥55 to <65 yr		2.79 (1.88-4.14)	≥55 to <65 yr		6.54 (4.85-8.81)
<55 vr		4.99 (3.43-7.27)	<55 yr		7.69 (5.02-11.77)	<55 yr		6.23 (3.22-12.05)	<55 yr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1 2 3 4	6 8		1 2 3 4 6	8 10		1 2 3 4	6 8 10		1 2 3 4 5 7 9)

Textbook inflammation



Stefanadis et al Circulation 1999

What we talk about when we talk about inflammation in diabetic cardiovascular disease



Flynn et al Circ Res 2020

A multi-system challenge: how to tune this system?



Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Gopalkrishna et al. Cardiovasc Res 2022

Beyond cardiovascular risk



Hanssen et al. Circulation 2021

Adaptive immunity likely plays a role as well



CRP, a reductionist view?





McFayden et al. Fronteers in immunology 2018 The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Lancet 2010

Geometric mean usual CRP concentration

CRP is a risk marker, not a risk factor



C Reactive Protein Coronary Heart Disease Genetics Collaboration, BMJ 2011



Blood or imaging?



Oikonomou et al. Cardiovascular Research 2021

Reducing inflammation by targeting conventional risk

- Type 2 diabetes mellitus (n=22)
- 40mg atorvastatin 3 months
- DOTATATE PET-CT to image M1 macrophages
- No correlation crp and DOTATATE uptake

CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION: Atorvastatin treatment in adults with type 2 diabetes reduces uptake of 68Ga-Dotatate across the cardio-hematopoietic axis.





LAD uptake, baseline

LAD uptake, follow up







Splenic uptake, baseline

Bone marrow uptake, baseline

Inflammatory diseases, cardiovascular risk





Hansildaar et al. Lancet Rheumatology 2021

Can we reduce risk further by targeting inflammation?

- Colchicine 0.5mg
- <30 days myocardial infarction</p>



Colchicine



CANTOS

- Canakinumab (anti-il1beta)
- Prior MI + CRP>2mg/L
- Trade-off: more (fatal) infections



- Effect dependent on CRP after 3 months
 - All NNT 24
 - CRP <2mg/L NNT 16
 - CRP \geq 2mg/L NNT 57

Ridker et al NEJM 2017, Lancet 2018

The way inflammation is lowered matters

- Low-dose methotrexate did not reduce cardiovascular disease in individuals with prior CVD + type 2 diabetes or metabolic syndrome
- Median CRP 1.5mg/L
- No effects IL1, IL6 or CRP

Ridker et al NEJM 2019

Trials inform mechanism

Ridker Circulation 2020

New kid on the block

- Ziltivekimab (anti IL6)
- N=264, CKD + CRP≥2
- Once weekly 24 weeks
- Placebo, 7.5, 15 or 30mg
- CRP up to -87.8% lower

Ready for the guidelines?

Colchicine in Acute Coronary Syndrome: When to Commence?

Sep 15, 2021 | aernoud fiolet; Tjerk Simon Jacob Opstal, MD; Peter Thompson, MD; Jan Hein Cornel

Expert Analysis

Share via: 🛉 😏 in 🖂 🕂 💿 Print

Font Size $\mathbb{A} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{A}$

Quick Takes

- The insights emanating from recent large clinical trials studying the role of colchicine as anti-inflammatory treatment in patients with coronary disease come with new questions for regular clinical practice, such as when to commence treatment.
- Current data suggest initiating treatment early after myocardial infarction (MI) or in patients without cardio-renal failure treated in the outpatient clinic.
- The effect of colchicine persists throughout prolonged treatment, irrespective of timing of a prior acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

Take home message

- Inflammation in diabetes and cardiovascular risk is a complex mechanism, CRP does not fully capture this process
 - Is there a role for imaging?
- Optimising conventional risk factors also reduces inflammation
- Colchicine and canicunimab reduce cardiovacular risk
 - There will be new kids on the block
- Major challenges remain
 - Who benefits?
 - Adverse events?